arXiv:1512.01635v1 [math.FA] 5 Dec 2015

n-DUAL SPACES ASSOCIATED TO A NORMED SPACE

YOSAFAT E. P. PANGALELA

Abstract. For a real normed space X, we study the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and show that the space is a Banach space. Meanwhile, for a real normed space X of dimension $d \geq n$ which satisfies property (G), we discuss the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|_G)$, where $\|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|_G$ is the Gähler n-norm. We then investigate the relationship between the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|_G)$. We use this relationship to determine the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|_G)$ and show that the space is also a Banach space.

1. Introduction

In the 1960's, the notion of n-normed spaces was introduced by Gähler [2, 3, 4, 5] as a generalisation of normed spaces. For every real normed space X of dimension $d \geq n$, Gähler showed that X can be viewed as an n-normed space by using the Gähler n-norm, which is denoted by $\|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|_G$. This n-norm is defined by using the set of bounded linear functionals on X. Since then, many researchers have studied operators and functionals on n-normed space X (see [1, 6, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15]).

In [13], the author and Gunawan introduced the concept of n-dual spaces. For every real normed space X of dimension $d \geq n$, there are two n-dual spaces associated to X. The first is the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, and the other is the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|_G)$. In case X is the l^p space for some $1 \leq p < \infty$, the author and Gunawan have investigated and given the relationship between both n-dual spaces [13]. Here we provide an analogues result on more general normed spaces.

For a real normed space X, we investigate the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ by using the $(n-1)$-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ (Theorem 3.2). We then focus on a real normed space X of dimension $d \geq n$ which satisfies property (G) and discuss the relationship between the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|_G)$ (Theorem 4.5). It is interesting to observe that both the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|_G)$ are Banach spaces (Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 4.7).

Date: Received: August 12, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B20; Secondary 46C05, 46C15, 46B99, 46C99.

Key words and phrases. n-dual spaces, n-normed spaces, bounded linear functionals.

2. Preliminaries

Let n be a nonnegative integer and X a real vector space of dimension $d \geq n$. We call a real-valued function $\|\cdot,\ldots,\cdot\|$ on X^n an *n-norm* on X if for all $x_1,\ldots,x_n,x' \in X$, we have

(1) $\|x_1,\ldots,x_n\| = 0$ if and only if $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ are linearly dependent;
(2) $\|x_1,\ldots,x_n\|$ is invariant under permutation;
(3) $\|\alpha x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n\| = |\alpha|\,\|x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n\|$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$; and
(4) $\|x_1+x',\ldots,x_n\| \leq \|x_1,\ldots,x_n\| + \|x',\ldots,x_n\|$.

We then call the pair $(X,\|\cdot,\ldots,\cdot\|)$ an *n-normed space*.

An example of n-normed spaces is the l^p space, where $1 \leq p < \infty$, equipped with

$$\|x_1,\ldots,x_n\|_p := \Big(\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{j_1}\cdots\sum_{j_n}|\det(x_{ij_k})|^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for $x_1,\ldots,x_n \in l^p$ (see [7, Section 3]).

Another interesting example of n-normed spaces is the Gähler n-norm which was introduced in [3, 4, 5]. Let X be a real normed space of dimension $d \geq n$, and $X^{(1)}$ the dual space of X. Gähler showed that the function $\|\cdot,\ldots,\cdot\|_G$ which is given by

$$\|x_1,\ldots,x_n\|_G := \sup_{\substack{f_i\in X^{(1)},\|f_i\|\leq 1\\ 1\leq i\leq n}}\Big|\det\left[f_j(x_i)\right]_{i,j}\Big|$$

for all $x_1,\ldots,x_n \in X$, is an n-norm on X. Hence every real normed space X can be viewed as an n-normed space $(X,\|\cdot,\ldots,\cdot\|_G)$.

Let X be a real normed space of dimension $d \geq n$. Any real-valued function f on X^n is called an *n-functional* on X. An n-functional f is *multilinear* if it satisfies two following properties:

(1) $f(x_1+y_1,\ldots,x_n+y_n) = \sum_{z_i\in\{x_i,y_i\},1\leq i\leq n} f(z_1,\ldots,z_n)$ and
(2) $f(\alpha_1x_1,\ldots,\alpha_nx_n) = \alpha_1\cdots\alpha_{n-1}f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$

for all $x_1,\ldots,x_n,y_1,\ldots,y_n \in X$ and $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

For multilinear n-functionals f,h on X, we define an n-functional $f+h$ by

$$(f+h)(x_1,\ldots,x_n) := f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) + h(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$

for $x_1,\ldots,x_n \in X$. Then $f+h$ is also multilinear. On the other hand, we say $f=h$ if

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = h(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$

for $x_1,\ldots,x_n \in X$.

We call an n-functional f *bounded* on a real normed space $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ [respectively an n-normed space $(X,\|\cdot,\ldots,\cdot\|)$] if there exists a constant $K>0$ such that

$$|f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)| \leq K\,\|x_1\|\cdots\|x_n\| \quad [\text{resp. } |f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)| \leq K\,\|x_1,\ldots,x_n\|\,]$$

for all $x_1,\ldots,x_n \in X$.

Let S_n denotes the group of permutations of $(1,\ldots,n)$. Recall from [13] that every bounded multilinear n-functional f on $(X,\|\cdot,\ldots,\cdot\|)$ is *antisymmetric* in the sense that

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\,f\left(x_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,x_{\sigma(n)}\right)$$

for $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ and $\sigma \in S_n$. Here $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) = 1$ if σ is an even permutation, and $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) = -1$ if σ is an odd permutation. Note that if f is antisymmetric then for any linearly dependent $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$, we have $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$.

Remark 2.1. In general, we do not have the antisymmetric property for bounded multilinear n-functionals on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$.

The space of bounded multilinear n-functionals on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called the *n-dual space* of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and denoted by $X^{(n)}$. For $n = 0$, we define $X^{(0)}$ as $\mathbb{R}$. The function $\|\cdot\|_{n,1}$ on $X^{(n)}$ where

$$\|f\|_{n,1} := \sup_{x_1,\ldots,x_n \neq 0} \frac{|f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)|}{\|x_1\| \cdots \|x_n\|}$$

for $f \in X^{(n)}$, defines a norm on $X^{(n)}$ and then $X^{(n)}$ is a normed space.

Meanwhile, the *n-dual space* of $(X, \|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|)$ is the space of bounded multilinear n-functional on $(X, \|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|)$. This space is also a normed space with the following norm

$$\|f\|_{n,n} := \sup_{\|x_1,\ldots,x_n\| \neq 0} \frac{|f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)|}{\|x_1, \ldots, x_n\|}.$$

Now let X, Y be real normed spaces. We write $B(X, Y)$ to denote the set of bounded linear operators from X into Y. The function $\|\cdot\|_{\text{op}}$ where

$$\|u\|_{\text{op}} := \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|u(x)\|}{\|x\|}$$

for every $u \in B(X, Y)$, is a norm on $B(X, Y)$. For simplification, we write $B(X, Y)$ to denote the normed space $B(X, Y)$ equipped with $\|\cdot\|_{\text{op}}$. Otherwise, if $\|\cdot\|^*$ is a norm function on $B(X, Y)$, we write $(B(X, Y), \|\cdot\|^*)$ to denote the normed space $B(X, Y)$ equipped by the norm $\|\cdot\|^*$.

3. The n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$

In this section, we first identify the bounded multilinear n-functionals on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ (Proposition Proposition 3.1). We then identify the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ by using the $(n-1)$-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ (Theorem 3.2). Finally we show that the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space (Theorem 3.3).

Proposition 3.1. *Let X be a real normed space of dimension $d \geq n$ and f a bounded multilinear n-functional on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. Then there exists $u_f \in B(X, X^{(n-1)})$ such that for $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, z \in X$,*

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, z) = (u_f(z))(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}).$$

Furthermore, $\|f\|_{n,1} = \|u_f\|_{\text{op}}$.

Proof. Take $z \in X$ and define an $(n-1)$-functional f_z on X with

$$f_z(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) := f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, z)$$

for $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} \in X$. We show $f_z \in X^{(n-1)}$. Note that for $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1} \in X$ and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_z(x_1 + y_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} + y_{n-1}) &= f(x_1 + y_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} + y_{n-1}, z) \\ &= \sum_{z_i \in \{x_i, y_i\}, 1 \le i \le n-1} f(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}, z) \\ &= \sum_{z_i \in \{x_i, y_i\}, 1 \le i \le n-1} f_z(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_z(\alpha_1 x_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1} x_{n-1}) &= f(\alpha_1 x_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1} x_{n-1}, z) \\ &= \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-1} f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, z) \\ &= \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-1} f_z(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|f_z(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})| = |f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, z)| \le \|f\|_{n,1} \|z\| (\|x_1\| \cdots \|x_{n-1}\|)$$

since f is bounded on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. Hence $f_z : X^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ is multilinear and bounded; and then $f_z \in X^{(n-1)}$.

Now define $u_f : X \to X^{(n-1)}$ with $u_f(z) := f_z$ for $z \in X$. We have to show $u_f \in B(X, X^{(n-1)})$. First we show that u_f is linear. Take $z_1, z_2 \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. For every $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u_f(\alpha z_1 + \beta z_2))(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) &= f_{\alpha z_1 + \beta z_2}(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \\ &= f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, \alpha z_1 + \beta z_2) \\ &= f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, \alpha z_1) + f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, \beta z_2) \\ &= \alpha f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, z_1) + \beta f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, z_2) \\ &= \alpha f_{z_1}(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) + \beta f_{z_2}(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \\ &= (\alpha u_f(z_1))(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) + (\beta u_f(z_2))(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \\ &= (\alpha u_f(z_1) + \beta u_f(z_2))(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$u_f(\alpha z_1 + \beta z_2) = \alpha u_f(z_1) + \beta u_f(z_2).$$

Hence u_f is linear.

Next we show the boundedness of u_f. Take $z \in X$. Then for $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(u_f(z))(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})| &= |f_z(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})| = |f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, z)| \\ &\le \|f\|_{n,1} \|x_1\| \cdots \|x_{n-1}\| \|z\| \ \ (f \text{ is bounded on } (X, \|\cdot\|)) \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\|u_f(z)\| = \sup_{x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} \neq 0} \frac{|(u_f(z))(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})|}{\|x_1\| \cdots \|x_{n-1}\|} \le \|f\|_{n,1} \|z\|$$

which is finite. This implies

$$\sup_{z \neq 0} \frac{\|u_f(z)\|}{\|z\|} \le \|f\|_{n,1}$$

which is finite. Therefore u_f is bounded and $\|u_f\|_{\text{op}} \leq \|f\|_{n,1}$.

Finally we claim that $\|u_f\|_{\text{op}} = \|f\|_{n,1}$. Recall that we already have $\|u_f\|_{\text{op}} \leq \|f\|_{n,1}$. To show the reverse inequality, note that for $z \in X$, $u_f(z) = f_z$ is bounded. Then for $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, z \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, z)| &= |f_z(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})| \\ &\leq \|f_z\|_{n,1} \|x_1\| \cdots \|x_{n-1}\| \\ &\quad (f \text{ is bounded on } (X, \|\cdot\|)) \\ &= \|u_f(z)\| \|x_1\| \cdots \|x_{n-1}\| \\ &\leq (\|u_f\|_{\text{op}} \|z\|) \|x_1\| \cdots \|x_{n-1}\| \end{aligned}$$

since u_f is bounded. Hence

$$\|f\|_{n,1} = \sup_{x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},z \neq 0} \frac{|f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, z)|}{\|x_1\| \cdots \|x_{n-1}\| \|z\|} \leq \|u_f\|_{\text{op}}$$

and $\|f\|_{n,1} \leq \|u_f\|_{\text{op}}$. Therefore $\|u_f\|_{\text{op}} = \|f\|_{n,1}$, as claimed. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a real normed space of dimension $d \geq n$. Then the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is $B(X, X^{(n-1)})$.*

Proof. For a bounded multilinear n-functional on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ f, let $u_f \in B(X, X^{(n-1)})$ be as in Proposition 3.1. Define a map θ from the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ to $B\left(X, X^{(n-1)}\right)$ with

$$\theta(f) := u_f$$

for $f \in X^{(n)}$. We have to show that θ is isometric and bijective.

The isometricness of θ follows from Proposition 3.1.

Next we show the injectivity of θ. Let f, h be bounded multilinear n-functionals on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ such that $\theta(f) = \theta(h)$. Then $u_f = u_h$ and for every $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n) &= (u_f(x_n))(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \\ &= (u_h(x_n))(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \\ &= h(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f = h$ and θ is injective.

To show that θ is surjective, we take $u \in B\left(X, X^{(n-1)}\right)$ and have to show that there exists a bounded multilinear n-functional on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ f_u such that $\theta(f_u) = u$. Now we define f_u an n-functional on X where

$$f_u(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n) := (u(x_n))(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$$

for $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n \in X$. We claim that f_u is multilinear and bounded on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$.

First we show that f_u is multilinear. Take $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n \in X$ and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$. we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_u(x_1+y_1, \ldots, x_n+y_n) &= (u(x_n+y_n))(x_1+y_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}+y_{n-1}) \\ &= \sum_{z_i \in \{x_i, y_i\}, 1 \le i \le n-1} (u(x_n+y_n))(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}) \\ &= \sum_{z_i \in \{x_i, y_i\}, 1 \le i \le n-1} (u(x_n)+u(y_n))(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}) \\ &= \sum_{z_i \in \{x_i, y_i\}, 1 \le i \le n-1} (f(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}, x_n)+f(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}, y_n)) \\ &= \sum_{z_i \in \{x_i, y_i\}, 1 \le i \le n} f(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}, z_n) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_u(\alpha_1 x_1, \ldots, \alpha_n x_n) &= (u(\alpha_n x_n))(\alpha_1 x_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1} x_{n-1}) \\ &= \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-1} (u(\alpha_n x_n))(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \ \ (u(\alpha_n x_n) \text{ is multilinear}) \\ &= \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n (u(x_n))(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \ \ (u \text{ is linear}) \\ &= \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n f_u(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence f_u is multilinear.

Next we show that f_u is bounded on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. Take $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |f_u(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n)| &= |(u(x_n))(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})| \\ &\le \|u(x_n)\| \, \|x_1\| \cdots \|x_{n-1}\| \ \ (u(x_n) \text{ is bounded}) \\ &\le (\|u\|_{\text{op}} \|x_n\|) \, \|x_1\| \cdots \|x_{n-1}\| \ \ (u \text{ is bounded}) \end{aligned}$$

and f_u is bounded.

Hence f_u is multilinear and bounded on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, as claimed. Note that $\theta(f_u) = u_{f_u}$. Take $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ and we have

$$(u(x_n))(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) = f_u(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n) = ((u_{f_u})(x_n))(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}).$$

Then $u(x_n) = u_{f_u}(x_n)$ for $x_n \in X$, and

$$u = u_{f_u} = \theta(f_u).$$

Therefore, θ is surjective and a bijection, as required. □

Recall from [8, Theorem 2.10-2] that for normed spaces X, Y, the normed space $B(X, Y)$ is a Banach space if Y is a Banach space. Since $\mathbb{R}$ is a Banach space, then for every normed space X, $X^{(1)}$ is also a Banach space. Hence Theorem 3.2 with $n = 2$ implies that $X^{(2)}$ is also a Banach space. Therefore, by induction and Theorem 3.2, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a real normed space of dimension $d \ge n$. Then the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space.*

4. The n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot, \cdots, \cdot\|_G)$

In this section, we focus on normed spaces of dimension $d \geq n$ which satisfy property (G). On this space, we investigate the relationship between bounded multilinear n-functionals on $(X, \|\cdot, \cdots, \cdot\|_G)$ and bounded multilinear n-functionals on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ (Lemma 4.3). We then use it to determine the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot, \cdots, \cdot\|_G)$ (Theorem 4.5) and show that the space is a Banach space (Theorem 4.7).

First we recall the functional g and property (G) introduced by Miličić in [?]. The functional $g : X^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$g(x, y) := \frac{\|x\|}{2} (\tau_- (x, y) + \tau_+ (x, y))$$

where

$$\tau_\pm (x, y) := \lim_{t \to \pm 0} t^{-1} (\|x + ty\| - \|x\|).$$

The functional g satisfies the following properties: for all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$

(G1) $g(x, x) = \|x\|^2$;
(G2) $g(\alpha x, \beta y) = \alpha\beta g(x, y)$;
(G3) $g(x, x + y) = \|x\|^2 + g(x, y)$; and
(G4) $|g(x, y)| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$.

We say that a real normed space X satisfies *property (G)* if the functional $g(x, y)$ is linear with respect to $y \in X$. In that case, we then call g a *semi-inner product* on X. For example, for $1 \leq p < \infty$, the l^p space satisifes property (G) (see [16]).

By using the semi-inner product g, we define an orthogonal relation on X as follows:

$$x \perp_g y \Leftrightarrow g(x, y) = 0.$$

Let $x \in X$ and $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} \subseteq X$. We write $\Gamma(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ to denote the Gram determinant $\det [g(y_i, y_j)]_{i,j}$. If $\Gamma(y_1, \ldots, y_n) \neq 0$, then the vector

$$x_Y := -\frac{1}{\Gamma(y_1, \ldots, y_n)} \det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & y_1 & \cdots & y_n \\ g(y_1, x) & g(y_1, y_1) & \cdots & g(y_1, y_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ g(y_n, x) & g(y_n, y_1) & \cdots & g(y_n, y_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

is called the Gram-Schimdt projection of the vector x on Y.

Next let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a linearly independent set of vectors in X. As in [?], we call $x_1^\circ, \ldots, x_n^\circ$ the *left g-orthogonal sequence* where $x_1^\circ := x_1$ and for $i = 2, \ldots, n$,

$$x_i^\circ := x_i - (x_i)_{S_{i-1}},$$

where $S_{i-1} := \operatorname{span}\{x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}\}$. Note that if $i < j$, then $x_i^\circ \perp_g x_j^\circ$ and $g(x_i^\circ, x_j^\circ) = 0$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let X be a real normed space of dimension $d \geq n$ which satisfies property (G). Let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a linearly independent set of vectors in X. Then*

$$\|x_1^\circ\| \cdots \|x_n^\circ\| \leq \|x_1, \ldots, x_n\|_G \leq n! \|x_1\| \cdots \|x_n\|.$$

Proof. First we show the right inequality. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_1,\dots,x_n\|_G &= \sup_{\substack{f_i\in X^{(1)},\|f_i\|\le 1\\ 1\le i\le n}} \left|\det\left[f_j\left(x_i\right)\right]_{i,j}\right| \\
&= \sup_{\substack{f_i\in X^{(1)},\|f_i\|\le 1\\ 1\le i\le n}} \left|\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\operatorname{sgn}\left(\sigma\right)\prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{\sigma(i)}\left(x_i\right)\right| \text{ (by the Leibniz formula)} \\
&\le \sup_{\substack{f_i\in X^{(1)},\|f_i\|\le 1\\ 1\le i\le n}} \sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\left|\prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{\sigma(i)}\left(x_i\right)\right| \text{ (by the triangle inequality)} \\
&\le \sup_{\substack{f_i\in X^{(1)},\|f_i\|\le 1\\ 1\le i\le n}} \sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left\|f_{\sigma(i)}\right\|\left\|x_i\right\|\right) \text{ (each } f_i \text{ is bounded)} \\
&\le \sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_i\right\|\right) \\
&= n!\left\|x_1\right\|\cdots\left\|x_n\right\|,
\end{aligned}$$

as required.

To show the left inequality, we first show that for a fixed $x\in X$, the functional g_x on X defined by

$$g_x\left(y\right) := \frac{g\left(x,y\right)}{\|x\|}$$

for $y\in X$, is bounded and linear. The linearity follows since X satisfies property (G). Now take $y\in X$, by (G4), we have

$$\left|g_x\left(y\right)\right| = \left|\frac{g\left(x,y\right)}{\|x\|}\right| \le \|y\|$$

and g_x is bounded, as required. Hence for $x\in X$, $g_x\in X^{(1)}$. Furthermore, $\|g_x\|\le 1$.

Now note that $\|x_1,\dots,x_n\|_G = \|x_1^\circ,\dots,x_n^\circ\|_G$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_1,\dots,x_n\|_G = \|x_1^\circ,\dots,x_n^\circ\|_G &= \sup_{\substack{f_i\in X^{(1)},\|f_i\|\le 1\\ 1\le i\le n}} \left|\det\left[f_j\left(x_i^\circ\right)\right]_{i,j}\right| \qquad (4.1)\\
&\ge \left|\det[g_{x_j^\circ}(x_i^\circ)]_{i,j}\right| = \frac{1}{\|x_1^\circ\|\cdots\|x_n^\circ\|}\left|\det\left[g(x_j^\circ,x_i^\circ)\right]_{i,j}\right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $x_1^\circ,\dots,x_n^\circ$ is the left g-orhogonal sequence, then $g(x_i^\circ,x_j^\circ)=0$ if $i<j$. By (G1), we get $g(x_i^\circ,x_i^\circ)=\|x_i^\circ\|^2$ for $i=1,\dots,n$. This implies

$$\left|\det\left[g(x_j^\circ,x_i^\circ)\right]_{i,j}\right| = \|x_1^\circ\|^2\cdots\|x_n^\circ\|^2$$

and (4.1) become

$$\|x_1,\dots,x_n\|_G \ge \|x_1^\circ\|\cdots\|x_n^\circ\|,$$

as required. □

Remark 4.2. Proposition 4.1 is a generalisation of Theorem 2.2 in [16]. In [16, Theorem 2.2], Wibawa-Kusumah and Gunawan only proved Proposition 4.1 for l^p spaces where $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let X be a real normed space of dimension $d \geq n$ which satisfies property (G). Let f be a multilinear n-functional on X. Then f is antisymmetric and bounded on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ if and only if f is bounded on $(X, \|\cdot, \cdots, \cdot\|_G)$. Furthermore*

$$\|f\|_{n,n} \leq \|f\|_{n,1} \leq n! \|f\|_{n,n}.$$

Proof. First suppose that f is antisymmetric bounded on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. Take linearly independent $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$. Then

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = f(x_1^\circ, \ldots, x_n^\circ)$$

and by the left inequality in Proposition 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)|}{\|x_1, \ldots, x_n\|_G} &\leq \frac{|f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)|}{\|x_1^\circ\| \cdots \|x_n^\circ\|} = \frac{|f(x_1^\circ, \ldots, x_n^\circ)|}{\|x_1^\circ\| \cdots \|x_n^\circ\|} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{n,1} \ (f \text{ is bounded on } (X, \|\cdot\|)) \end{aligned}$$

which is finite. Hence f is bounded on $(X, \|\cdot, \cdots, \cdot\|_G)$ and

$$\|f\|_{n,n} \leq \|f\|_{n,1}. \tag{4.2}$$

Next suppose that f is bounded on $(X, \|\cdot, \cdots, \cdot\|_G)$. Then f is antisymmetric. To show the boundedness of f on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, we take linearly independent $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$. Then by the right inequality in Proposition 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)|}{\|x_1\| \cdots \|x_n\|} &\leq n! \frac{|f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)|}{\|x_1, \ldots, x_n\|_G} \\ &\leq n! \|f\|_{n,n} \ (f \text{ is bounded on } (X, \|\cdot, \cdots, \cdot\|_G)) \end{aligned}$$

which is finite. Hence f is bounded on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and

$$\|f\|_{n,1} \leq n! \|f\|_{n,n}. \tag{4.3}$$

Finally, by (4.2) and (4.3), we get

$$\|f\|_{n,n} \leq \|f\|_{n,1} \leq n! \|f\|_{n,n},$$

as required. □

Now we say $u \in B(X, X^{(n-1)})$ *antisymmetric* if for $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ and $\sigma \in S_n$,

$$(u(x_n))(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \left(u\left(x_{\sigma(n)}\right)\right)\left(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n-1)}\right)$$

and then define $B_{\text{as}}(X, X^{(n-1)})$ as the collection of antisymmetric elements of $B(X, X^{(n-1)})$. Note that $B_{\text{as}}(X, X^{(n-1)})$ is also a normed space with the norm inherited from $B(X, X^{(n-1)})$ which is $\|\cdot\|_{\text{op}}$.

Note that Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 4.3 imply that every bounded multilinear n-functional on $(X, \|\cdot, \cdots, \cdot\|_G)$ can be identified as an element of $B_{\text{as}}(X, X^{(n-1)})$ and vice versa. Therefore Lemma 4.3 implies the following corollary and theorem.

Corollary 4.4. *Let X be a real normed space of dimension $d \geq n$ which satisfies property (G). The function $\|\cdot\|_G$ on $B_{\text{as}}(X, X^{(n-1)})$ where*

$$\|u\|_G := \sup_{\|x_1,\ldots,x_n\|_G \neq 0} \frac{|(u(x_n))(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})|}{\|x_1,\ldots,x_n\|_G}$$

for $u \in B(X, X^{(n-1)})$, defines a norm on $B_{\text{as}}(X, X^{(n-1)})$. Furthermore, $\|\cdot\|_G$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\text{op}}$ are equivalent norms on $B_{\text{as}}(X, X^{(n-1)})$ with

$$\|u\|_G \leq \|u\|_{\text{op}} \leq n! \|u\|_G$$

for $u \in B(X, X^{(n-1)})$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let X be a real normed space of dimension $d \geq n$ which satisfies property (G). Then the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot, \cdots, \cdot\|_G)$ is $\left(B_{\text{as}}(X, X^{(n-1)}), \|\cdot\|_G\right)$.*

The rest of this section is devoted to show that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot, \cdots, \cdot\|_G)$ is a Banach space.

Theorem 4.6. *Let X be a real normed space of dimension $d \geq n$ which satisfies property (G). Then $B_{\text{as}}(X, X^{(n-1)})$ is a Banach space.*

Proof. Since every closed subspace of Banach space is also a Banach space, then by Theorem 3.3, it suffices to show that $B_{\text{as}}(X, X^{(n-1)})$ is a closed subspace of $B(X, X^{(n-1)})$.

Take a sequence $\{u_m\} \subseteq B_{\text{as}}(X, X^{(n-1)})$ such that $u_m \to u$. We have to show $u \in B_{\text{as}}(X, X^{(n-1)})$. In other words, for $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ and $\sigma \in S_n$, we have to show

$$(u(x_n))(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}) = \text{sgn}(\sigma)\left(u\left(x_{\sigma(n)}\right)\right)\left(x_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,x_{\sigma(n-1)}\right).$$

Take $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ and $\sigma \in S_n$. First note that for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\|u(x_n) - u_m(x_n)\| = \|(u - u_m)(x_n)\| \leq \|u - u_m\|_{\text{op}} \|x_n\| \tag{4.4}$$

since $u - u_m$ is bounded. Since $u(x_n), u_m(x_n) \in X^{(n-1)}$, then $(u - u_m)(x_n)$ is bounded and for $y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1} \in X$, we have

$$\|((u - u_m)(x_n))(y_1,\ldots,y_{n-1})\| \leq \|u(x_n) - u_m(x_n)\| \|y_1\| \cdots \|y_{n-1}\|. \tag{4.5}$$

Since $u_m \to u$, then by (4.4) and (4.5), we get

$$(u_m(x_n))(y_1,\ldots,y_{n-1}) \to (u(x_n))(y_1,\ldots,y_{n-1}) \tag{4.6}$$

for $y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1} \in X$. Since u_m is antisymmetric for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then (4.6) implies

$$(u(x_n))(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}) = \text{sgn}(\sigma)\left(u\left(x_{\sigma(n)}\right)\right)\left(x_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,x_{\sigma(n-1)}\right),$$

as required. Thus $B_{\text{as}}(X, X^{(n-1)})$ is closed and then a Banach space. □

Furthermore, since $\|\cdot\|_G$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\text{op}}$ are equivalent norms on $B_{\text{as}}(X, X^{(n-1)})$, then by Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.6, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 4.7. *Let X be a real normed space of dimension $d \geq n$ which satisfies property (G). Then the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot, \cdots, \cdot\|_G)$ is a Banach space.*

References

1. H. Batkunde, H. Gunawan, and Y.E.P. Pangalela, *Bounded linear functionals on the n-normed space of p-summable sequences*, Acta Univ. M. Belii Ser. Math. **21** (2013), 66–75.
2. S. Gähler, *Lineare* 2*-normierte räume*, Math. Nachr. **28** (1964), 1–43.
3. S. Gähler, *Untersuchungen über verallgemeinerte m-metrische räume I*, Math. Nachr. **40** (1969), 165–189.
4. S. Gähler, *Untersuchungen über verallgemeinerte m-metrische räume II*, Math. Nachr. **40** (1969), 229–264.
5. S. Gähler, *Untersuchungen über verallgemeinerte m-metrische räume III*, Math. Nachr. **41** (1969), 23–36.
6. S.M. Gozali, H. Gunawan and O. Neswan, *On n-norms and n-bounded linear functionals in a Hilbert space*, Ann. Funct. Anal. **1** (2010), 72–79.
7. H. Gunawan, *The space of p-summable sequences and its natural n-norm*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **64** (2001), 137–147.
8. E. Kreyszig, Introductory Functionals Analysis with Applications, New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
9. S.N. Lal and M. Das, 2*-functionals and some extension theorems in linear spaces*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **13** (1982), 912–919.
10. Z. Lewandowska, *Bounded* 2*-linear operators on* 2*-normed sets*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III **39** (2004), 301–312.
11. R. Malcheski and Z. Cvetkovski, *Dual space of the space of bounded linear n-functionals*, Mat. Bilten **36** (2012), 47–53.
12. P.M. Miličić, *On the Gram-Schmidt projection in normed spaces*, Univ. Beograd Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat. **4** (1993), 85–92.
13. Y.E.P. Pangalela and H. Gunawan, *The n-dual space of the space of p-summable sequences*, Math. Bohem. **138** (2013), 439–448.
14. A.L. Soenjaya, *On n-bounded and n-continuous operator in n-normed space*, J. Indones. Math. Soc. **18** (2012), 45–56.
15. A. White, 2*-Banach spaces*, Math. Nachr. **42** (1969), 43–60.
16. R.A. Wibawa-Kusumah and H. Gunawan, *Two equivalent n-norms on the space of p-summable sequences*, Period. Math. Hungar. **67** (2013), 63–69

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Otago, PO Box 56, Dunedin 9054, New Zealand

E-mail address: yosafat.pangalela@maths.otago.ac.nz